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Tree-based hypersequent calculus

## Tree-based hypersequent calculus

We shall motivate, present, illustrate and analyse a conservative extension of the Lambek calculus called displacement calculus (Morrill \& Valentín 2010; Morrill, Valentín \& Fadda 2011).
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## Syntactic types

The set $\mathcal{F}$ of types is defined in terms of a set $\mathcal{P}$ of primitive types by:

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\mathcal{F} & :=\mathcal{P} \\
& & & \\
\mathcal{F} & :=\mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F} & T(C / B) & =T(B) \rightarrow T(C) & \text { over } \\
\mathcal{F} & :=\mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F} & T(A \backslash C) & =T(A) \rightarrow T(C) & \text { under } \\
\mathcal{F} & ::=\mathcal{F} \bullet \mathcal{F} & T(A \bullet B) & =T(A) \& T(B) & \text { continuous product } \\
\mathcal{F} & :=I & T(I)=T & \text { continuous unit }
\end{array}
$$

## Syntactical interpretation

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[[C / B]] } & =\left\{s_{1} \mid \forall s_{2} \in[[B]], s_{1}+s_{2} \in[[C]]\right\} \\
{[[A \backslash C]] } & =\left\{s_{2} \mid \forall s_{1} \in[[A]], s_{1}+s_{2} \in[[C]]\right\} \\
{[[A \bullet B]] } & =\left\{s_{1}+s_{2} \mid s_{1} \in[[A]] \& s_{2} \in[[B]]\right\} \\
{[[I]] } & =\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Sequents

The set $O$ of configurations is defined by the following, where $\Lambda$ is the empty configuration:

$$
O::=\Lambda \mid \mathcal{F}, O
$$

A sequent has the form:

$$
O \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}
$$
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The logical rules are as follows, where $\Delta(\Gamma)$ signifies context configuration $\Delta$ with a distinguished subconfiguration $\Gamma$.

## Sequent calculus

The logical rules are as follows, where $\Delta(\Gamma)$ signifies context configuration $\Delta$ with a distinguished subconfiguration $\Gamma$.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta(C) \Rightarrow D \\
\frac{\Gamma(C / B, \Gamma) \Rightarrow D}{} / L & \frac{\Gamma, B \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow C / B} / R \\
\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta(C) \Rightarrow D}{\Delta(\Gamma, A \backslash C) \Rightarrow D} \backslash L & \frac{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \backslash C} \backslash R \\
\frac{\Delta(A, B) \Rightarrow D}{\Delta(A \bullet B) \Rightarrow D} \bullet L & \frac{\Gamma_{1} \Rightarrow A}{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \Rightarrow A \bullet B} \bullet R \\
\frac{\Delta(\Lambda) \Rightarrow A}{\Delta(I) \Rightarrow A} I L & \\
\hline \Rightarrow I R
\end{array}
$$
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## Descriptive inadequacy of Lambek calculus

Discontinuous idioms

- Mary gave the man the cold shoulder

Medial relativisation

- the man that Mary saw today

Cross serial dependencies ...
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## Displacement calculus

Logic of strings with holes - append and plug

append $+: L_{i}, L_{j} \rightarrow L_{i+j} \quad$ plug $\times_{k}: L_{i+1}, L_{j} \rightarrow L_{i+j}$

## Syntactic types

The syntactic types are sorted $\mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots$ according to the number of holes $0,1,2, \ldots$ their expressions contain.

## Syntactic types

The syntactic types are sorted $\mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2}, \ldots$ according to the number of holes $0,1,2, \ldots$ their expressions contain.

The sets $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ of types of sort $i$ are defined in terms of sets $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ of primitive types of sort $i$ by:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrll}
\mathcal{F}_{i} & : & :=\mathcal{P}_{i} & & \\
& & & \\
\mathcal{F}_{i} & :==\mathcal{F}_{i+j} / \mathcal{F}_{j} & T(C / B) & =T(B) \rightarrow T(C) & \text { over } \\
\mathcal{F}_{j} & :=\mathcal{F}_{i} \backslash \mathcal{F}_{i+j} & T(A \backslash C) & =T(A) \rightarrow T(C) & \text { under } \\
\mathcal{F}_{i+j} & :==\mathcal{F}_{i} \bullet \mathcal{F}_{j} & T(A \bullet B) & =T(A) \& T(B) & \text { continuous product } \\
\mathcal{F}_{0} & :=1 & T(I) & =T & \\
& & & \text { continuous unit } \\
\mathcal{F}_{i+1} & : & :=\mathcal{F}_{i+j} \uparrow_{k} \mathcal{F}_{j} & T\left(C \uparrow_{k} B\right) & =T(B) \rightarrow T(C)
\end{array}
$$

## Syntactical interpretation

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[[C / B]] } & =\left\{s_{1} \mid \forall s_{2} \in[[B]], s_{1}+s_{2} \in[[C]]\right\} \\
{[[A \backslash C]] } & =\left\{s_{2} \mid \forall s_{1} \in[[A]], s_{1}+s_{2} \in[[C]]\right\} \\
{[[A \bullet B]] } & =\left\{s_{1}+s_{2} \mid s_{1} \in[[A]] \& s_{2} \in[[B]]\right\} \\
{[[I]] } & =\{0\} \\
{\left[\left[C \uparrow_{k} B\right]\right] } & =\left\{s_{1} \mid \forall s_{2} \in[[B]], s_{1} x_{k} s_{2} \in[[C]]\right\} \\
{\left[\left[A \downarrow_{k} C\right]\right] } & =\left\{s_{2} \mid \forall s_{1} \in[[A]], s_{1} x_{k} s_{2} \in[[C]]\right\} \\
{\left[\left[A \odot_{k} B\right]\right] } & \left.=\left\{s_{1} x_{k} s_{2} \mid s_{1} \in[[A]] \& s_{2} \in[[B]]\right\}\right\} \\
{[[I]] } & =\{1\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& O::=\wedge \mid \mathcal{T}, O \\
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## Sequents

Configurations $O$ are defined by the following, where $\Lambda$ is the empty string, and the metalinguistic separator 1 marks holes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O::=\wedge \mid \mathcal{T}, O \\
& \mathcal{T}::=1\left|\mathcal{F}_{0}\right| \mathcal{F}_{i>0}\{\underbrace{O: \ldots: O}_{i O^{\prime} \mathrm{s}}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $A$ is a type, $s A$ is its sort.
Where $\Gamma$ is a configuration, its sort $s \Gamma$ is the number of holes ( 1 's) it contains.

Sequents $\Sigma$ are defined by:

$$
O \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text { such that } s O=s \mathcal{F}
$$

## Sequent calculus
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## Sequent calculus

The figure $\vec{A}$ of a type $A$ is defined by:

$$
\vec{A}= \begin{cases}A & \text { if } s A=0 \\ A\{\underbrace{1: \ldots: 1}_{s A 1 \text { 1's }}\} & \text { if } s A>0\end{cases}
$$

Where $\Gamma$ is a configuration of sort $i$ and $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}$ are configurations, the fold $\Gamma \otimes\left\langle\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}\right\rangle$ is the result of replacing the successive holes in $\Gamma$ by $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}$ respectively.

Where $\Gamma$ is a configuration of sort $i$ and $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}$ are configurations, the fold $\Gamma \otimes\left\langle\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}\right\rangle$ is the result of replacing the successive holes in $\Gamma$ by $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}$ respectively.

Where $\Gamma$ is of sort $i$, the notation $\Delta\langle\Gamma\rangle$ abbreviates $\Delta_{0}\left(\Gamma \otimes\left\langle\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}\right\rangle\right)$, i.e. a context configuration $\Delta$ (which is externally $\Delta_{0}$ and internally $\left.\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}\right)$ with a potentially discontinuous distinguished subconfiguration $\Gamma$.

## Continuous logical rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta\langle\vec{C}\rangle \Rightarrow D}{\Delta\langle\overrightarrow{C / B}, \Gamma\rangle \Rightarrow D} / L \quad \frac{\Gamma, \vec{B} \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow C / B} / R \\
& \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta\langle\vec{C}\rangle \Rightarrow D}{\Delta\langle\Gamma, \overrightarrow{A \backslash C}\rangle \Rightarrow D} \backslash L \quad \frac{\vec{A}, \Gamma \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \backslash C} \backslash R \\
& \frac{\Delta\langle\vec{A}, \vec{B}\rangle \Rightarrow D}{\Delta\langle\overrightarrow{A \bullet B}\rangle \Rightarrow D} \bullet L \quad \frac{\Gamma_{1} \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma_{2} \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \Rightarrow A \bullet B} \bullet R \\
& \frac{\Delta\langle\Lambda\rangle \Rightarrow A}{\Delta\langle\vec{l}\rangle \Rightarrow A} I L \\
& \underset{\Lambda \Rightarrow I}{ } I R
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\Delta$ is a configuration of sort $i>0$ and $\Gamma$ is a configuration, the $k$ th metalinguistic wrap $\left.\Delta\right|_{k} \Gamma, 1 \leq k \leq i$, is given by:

Where $\Delta$ is a configuration of sort $i>0$ and $\Gamma$ is a configuration, the $k$ th metalinguistic wrap $\left.\Delta\right|_{k} \Gamma, 1 \leq k \leq i$, is given by:

$$
\left.\Delta\right|_{k} \Gamma=d f \Delta \otimes\langle\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k-1 \text { 1's }}, \Gamma, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{i-k \text { 1's }}\rangle
$$

Where $\Delta$ is a configuration of sort $i>0$ and $\Gamma$ is a configuration, the $k$ th metalinguistic wrap $\left.\Delta\right|_{k} \Gamma, 1 \leq k \leq i$, is given by:
$\left.\Delta\right|_{k} \Gamma={ }_{d f} \Delta \otimes\langle\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k-1 \text { 1's }}, \Gamma, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{i-k \text { 1's }}\rangle$
i.e. $\left.\Delta\right|_{k} \Gamma$ is the configuration resulting from replacing by $\Gamma$ the $k$ th hole in $\Delta$.
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## Discontinuous logical rules

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma \Rightarrow B & \Delta\langle\vec{C}\rangle \Rightarrow D \\
\Delta\left\langle\left.\overrightarrow{C \uparrow_{k} B}\right|_{k} \Gamma\right\rangle \Rightarrow D \\
\uparrow_{k} L & \frac{\left.\Gamma\right|_{k} \vec{B} \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow C \uparrow_{k} B} \uparrow_{k} R \\
\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta\langle\vec{C}\rangle \Rightarrow D}{\Delta\left\langle\left.\Gamma\right|_{k} \overrightarrow{A \downarrow_{k} C}\right\rangle \Rightarrow D} \downarrow_{k} L & \frac{\left.\vec{A}\right|_{k} \Gamma \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \downarrow_{k} C} \downarrow_{k} R \\
\frac{\Delta\left\langle\left.\vec{A}\right|_{k} \vec{B}\right\rangle \Rightarrow D}{\Delta\left\langle\overrightarrow{A \odot_{k} B}\right\rangle \Rightarrow D} \odot_{k} L & \frac{\Gamma_{1} \Rightarrow A}{\left.\Gamma_{1}\right|_{k} \Gamma_{2} \Rightarrow A \odot_{k} B} \Gamma_{k} \Rightarrow B \\
\frac{\Delta\langle 1\rangle \Rightarrow A}{\Delta\langle\vec{J}\rangle \Rightarrow A} J L & \overrightarrow{1 \Rightarrow J} J
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## Examples

Mary gave the man the cold shoulder

- gave+1+the+cold+shoulder: $(N \backslash S) \uparrow N$
the man Mary saw today
- that: $(C N \backslash C N) /((S \uparrow N) \odot I)$

