

Mathematical Logic and Linguistics

Slides 12

Glyn Morrill & Oriol Valentín

Department of Computer Science
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
morrill@cs.upc.edu & oriol.valentin@gmail.com

BGSMath Course
Autumn 2015

Algebraic interpretation for **DA**

Algebraic interpretation for **DA**

- ▶ Displacement algebras (DAs) versus standard DAs (SDA).
- ▶ Phase semantics for **DA**: soundness and completeness.
- ▶ Powerset DAs over SDAs: soundness and completeness for the so-called *implicative* fragment.
- ▶ Extending phase semantics to the other connectives of **DL**.

DAs vs SDAs

General DAs have the following axiomatisation:

Continuous associativity

$$x + (y + z) \approx (x + y) + z$$

Discontinuous associativity

$$\begin{aligned}x \times_i (y \times_j z) &\approx (x \times_i y) \times_{i+j-1} z \\(x \times_i y) \times_j z &\approx x \times_i (y \times_{j-i+1} z) \text{ if } i \leq j \leq 1 + s(y) - 1\end{aligned}$$

Mixed permutation

$$\begin{aligned}(x \times_i y) \times_j z &\approx (x \times_{j-s(y)+1} z) \times_i y \text{ if } j > i + s(y) - 1 \\(x \times_i z) \times_j y &\approx (x \times_j y) \times_{i+s(y)-1} z \text{ if } j < i\end{aligned}$$

Mixed associativity

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y) \times_i z &\approx (x \times_i y) + z \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq s(x) \\(x + y) \times_i z &\approx x + (y \times_{i-s(x)} z) \text{ if } x + 1 \leq i \leq s(x) + s(y)\end{aligned}$$

Continuous unit and discontinuous unit

$$0 + x \approx x \approx x + 0 \text{ and } 1 \times_1 x \approx x \approx x \times_1 1$$

Figure: Equational theory for **DA**

SDAs

D is model-theoretically motivated, and the key to its conception is the class of standard displacement algebras. Some definitions are needed. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M, +, 0, 1)$ be a free monoid where 1 is a distinguished element of the set of generators X of \mathbf{M} . We call such an algebra a *separated monoid*. Given an element $a \in M$, we can associate to it a number, called its *sort* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s(1) &= 1 \\ (1) \quad s(a) &= 0 \text{ if } a \in X \text{ and } a \neq 1 \\ s(w_1 + w_2) &= s(w_1) + s(w_2) \end{aligned}$$

This induction is well-defined, for \mathbf{M} is free, and 1 is a (distinguished) generator. The sort function $s(\cdot)$ in a separated monoid simply counts the number of separators an element contains.

SDAs (Continued)

Definition

(Sort Domains)

Where $\mathbf{M} = (M, +, 0, 1)$ is a separated monoid, the *sort domains* M_i of sort i are defined as follows:

$$M_i = \{a \in M : s(a) = i\}, i \geq 0$$

It is readily seen that for every $i, j \geq 0$, $M_i \cap M_j = \emptyset$ iff $i \neq j$.

SDAs (Continued)

Definition

(Standard Displacement Algebra)

The *standard displacement algebra* (or standard DA) defined by a separated monoid $(M, +, 0, 1)$ is the ω -sorted algebra with the ω -sorted signature $\Sigma_D = (+, \{\times_i\}_{i>0}, 0, 1)$ with sort functionality $((i, j \rightarrow i + j)_{i,j \geq 0}, (i, j \rightarrow i + j - 1)_{i>0, j \geq 0}, 0, 1)$:

$$(\{M_i\}_{i \geq 0}, +, \{\times_i\}_{i > 0}, 0, 1)$$

where:

operation	is such that
$+ : M_i \times M_j \rightarrow M_{i+j}$	as in the separated monoid
$\times_k : M_i \times M_j \rightarrow M_{i+j-1}$	$\times_k(s, t)$ is the result of replacing the k -th separator in s by t

Phase semantics for **DA**

Consider **DA** augmented with the $(\top_i)_i$ such that $S(\top_i) = i$:

$$(2) \frac{\quad}{\Delta \Rightarrow \top_i} \top_i R$$

Rule (2) has the side-condition requiring that Δ is any configuration of sort i .

Phase semantics for DA

Definition

A displacement phase space $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Facts})$ is a structure such that:

1. \mathbf{A} is a DA.
2. $\mathbf{Facts} = (\mathbf{Facts}_i)_i \subseteq sb(A)$ is a set of subsets such that $\mathbf{Facts}_i \cap \mathbf{Facts}_j = \emptyset$ iff $i \neq j$, and:
 - a) For every $F \in \mathbf{Facts}_i$, $F \subseteq A_i$.
 - b) \mathbf{Facts} is closed by intersections of arbitrary families of same-sort subsets.
 - c) $A_i \in \mathbf{Facts}_i$.
 - d) For all $F \in \mathbf{Facts}_i$, and for all $x \in A_j$:

$$\begin{aligned}x \setminus F &\in \mathbf{Facts}_{i-j} \\ F/x &\in \mathbf{Facts}_{i-j} \\ F \uparrow_k x &\in \mathbf{Facts}_{i-j+1} \\ x \downarrow_k F &\in \mathbf{Facts}_{i-j+1}\end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{Facts} is also called (an ω -sorted) *closure system*. When $F \in \mathbf{Facts}$ we say that F is a *fact* or a *closed subset*.

Where F, G denote subsets of A of sort i , we define the closure operator:

$$(3) \quad cl(G) \triangleq \bigcap \{F \in \mathbf{Facts}_i : G \subseteq F\}$$

$cl(\cdot)$ is well-defined for in definition (3) it is required that $A_i \in \mathbf{Facts}_i$.

It is readily seen that:

- ▶ $cl(F)$ is the least closed set such that $F \subseteq cl(F)$.
- ▶ $cl(\cdot)$ is extensive, i.e.: $G \subseteq cl(G)$.
- ▶ $cl(\cdot)$ is monotone, i.e.: if $G_1 \subseteq G_2$ then $cl(G_1) \subseteq cl(G_2)$.
- ▶ $cl(\cdot)$ is idempotent, i.e.: $cl^2(G) = cl(G)$.

Some notation

We sometimes notate \overline{G} instead of $cl(G)$, for a give same-sort subset G of A . We define the following operators:

- ▶ $F \circ G \triangleq \{f + g : f \in F \text{ and } g \in G\}$
- ▶ $F \circ_i G \triangleq \{f \times_i g : f \in F \text{ and } g \in G\}$
- ▶ $f \circ G \triangleq \{f\} \circ G$ and $F \circ g \triangleq F \circ \{g\}$
- ▶ $f \circ_i G \triangleq \{f\} \circ_i G$ and $F \circ_i g \triangleq F \circ_i \{g\}$
- ▶ $G // F \triangleq \{h : \forall f \in F, h + f \in G\}$
- ▶ $G \uparrow \uparrow_i F \triangleq \{h : \forall f \in F, h \times_i f \in G\}$
- ▶ $G // f \triangleq G // \{f\}$
- ▶ $G \uparrow \uparrow_i f \triangleq G \uparrow \uparrow_i \{f\}$

Recovering a closure system from a closure operator

Given $cl(\cdot)$ we put:

$$(4) \quad G \in \mathbf{Facts} \quad \text{iff} \quad cl(G) = G$$

The indexed subuniverses $\overline{A_i} \subseteq A_i$, whence $A_i \in \mathbf{Facts}_i$. Closure by arbitrary intersections of families of same-sort closed subsets holds for:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_i F_i \subseteq F_i \text{ where } F_i \in \mathbf{Facts} \text{ i.e., } F_i = cl(F_i), \text{ and } i \in I \\ cl(\bigcap_i F_i) \subseteq \bigcap_i F_i \text{ but } \bigcap_i F_i \subseteq cl(\bigcap_i F_i) \text{ whence:} \\ \bigcap_i F_i \in \mathbf{Facts} \end{aligned}$$

In order to recover 2.d) we need additional properties (see later).

Properties on $cl(\cdot)$

- ▶ $F \circ G \subseteq H$ iff $F \subseteq H // G$ iff $G \subseteq F \setminus \setminus H$.
- ▶ $F \circ_i G \subseteq H$ iff $F \subseteq H \uparrow \uparrow_i G$ iff $G \subseteq F \downarrow \downarrow_i H$.
- ▶ By construction, $cl(F)$ is the least closed subset such that $F \subseteq cl(F)$. Hence:
- ▶ If $A \subseteq F$ and $cl(F) = F$ then $cl(A) \subseteq cl(F)$.

More properties on $cl(\cdot)$

- ▶ If A is closed, then:

$A//F, F \setminus A, A \uparrow \uparrow_i F$, and $F \downarrow \downarrow_i A$ are closed.

Proof: $A \uparrow \uparrow_i F = \bigcap_{x \in F} A \uparrow \uparrow_i x$, whence $A \uparrow \uparrow_i F$ is closed. \square

Similar for the other implicative operations.

- ▶ $cl(F) \circ cl(G) \subseteq cl(F \circ G)$. Similarly, $cl(F) \circ_i cl(G) \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G)(\star)$.
- ▶ Hence, $cl(cl(F) \circ cl(G)) \subseteq cl(F \circ G)$, and
 $cl(cl(F) \circ_i cl(G)) \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G)$

More properties on $cl(\cdot)$ (continued)

- ▶ Proof of (★): $cl(F) \circ_i cl(G) \subseteq cl(F \circ G)$.

Proof.

$F \circ_i G \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G)$. By residuation, $F \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G) \uparrow \uparrow_i G$. $cl(F \circ_i G) \uparrow \uparrow_i G$ is a closed subset (see previous proof). Hence, $cl(F) \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G) \uparrow \uparrow_i G$. Applying again residuation, we have $cl(F) \circ_i G \subseteq cl(F \circ G)$. We repeat the process with G , obtaining $cl(G) \subseteq cl(F) \downarrow \downarrow_i cl(F \circ_i G)$. It follows that:

$$cl(F) \circ_i cl(G) \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G)$$

□

- ▶ It follows that: $cl(cl(F) \circ_i cl(G)) \subseteq cl(F \circ_i G)$. The inclusion trivially holds, whence we have equality:

$$cl(cl(F) \circ_i cl(G)) = cl(F \circ_i G)$$

Closed operations between closed subsets

Given F, G closed sets:

- ▶ $F \circ G \triangleq cl(F \circ G)$.
- ▶ $F \circ_i G \triangleq cl(F \circ_i G)$.
- ▶ $\overline{T}_i \triangleq A_i$, where A_i are the same-sort subuniverses.
- ▶ $F \& G \triangleq F \cap G$. In general we write $F \cap G$.
- ▶ $F \overline{\cup} G \triangleq cl(F \cup G)$.
- ▶ $G \overline{\uparrow}_i F \triangleq G \uparrow_i F$. In general we write \uparrow_i . Similar for the other implications.
- ▶ $\overline{\mathbb{I}} \triangleq cl(\{0\})$.
- ▶ $\overline{\mathbb{J}} \triangleq cl(\{J\})$.

Closed operations between closed subsets and algebraic interpretations

Let A, B be arbitrary types. Given a valuation $v : \text{Pr} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$:

- ▶ $v(p)$ is closed subset of A_i where p is primitive of sort i .
We extend recursively (we drop the notation \hat{v}) v :
- ▶ $v(B \uparrow_i A) \triangleq v(B) \uparrow \uparrow_i v(A)$. Similar for the other implications.
- ▶ $v(A \bullet B) \triangleq v(A) \overline{\circ} v(B)$.
- ▶ $v(A \odot_i B) \triangleq v(A) \overline{\circ}_i v(B)$.
- ▶ $v(A \oplus B) \triangleq v(A) \overline{\cup} v(B)$.
- ▶ $v(I) \triangleq \overline{\mathbb{I}}$.
- ▶ $v(J) \triangleq \overline{\mathbb{J}}$.
- ▶ $v(\top_i) \triangleq A_i$.

It follows that for any type A , $v(A)$ is a closed subset.

Soundness of **DA**

Let us recall the categorical calculus. We have the faithful translation $(\cdot)^\# : \mathbf{cD} \Rightarrow \mathbf{hD}$, such that For any Δ and A , we have:

$$\mathbf{cD} \vdash (\Delta)^\bullet \rightarrow A \text{ iff } \mathbf{hD} \vdash \Delta \Rightarrow A$$

From the above translation we get (almost) for free soundness of **DA** w.r.t. phase semantics. Residuation is obvious. Only postulates are to be checked whether they hold of a phase displacement model. Some postulates:

- ▶ **Continuous associativity**

$$A \bullet (B \bullet C) \rightarrow (A \bullet B) \bullet C \text{ and } (A \bullet B) \bullet C \rightarrow A \bullet (B \bullet C)$$

- ▶ **Mixed associativity** If we have that $B \check{\jmath}_A C$:

$$A \odot_i (B \odot_j C) \Rightarrow (A \odot_i B) \odot_{i+j-1} C \text{ and } (A \odot_i B) \odot_{i+j-1} C \rightarrow A \odot_i (B \odot_j C)$$

- ▶ **Mixed permutation** If we have that $B <_A C$:

$$(A \odot_i B) \odot_j C \rightarrow (A \odot_{j-b+1} C) \odot_i B \text{ and } (A \odot_{j-b+1} C) \odot_i B \rightarrow (A \odot_i B) \odot_j C$$

Soundness of **DA** (Continued)

Let us check mixed permutation, in the case $B \not\ll_A C$. Remaining postulates are proved to hold similarly. Let v be a valuation in a phase displacement space:

$$\begin{aligned}v((A \odot_i B) \odot_j C) &= (v(A) \overline{\circ}_i v(B)) \overline{\circ}_j v(C) \\&= cl(cl(v(A) \circ_i v(B)) \circ_j v(C)) \\&= cl(cl(v(A) \circ_i v(B)) \circ_j cl(v(C))) \text{ since } cl(v(C)) = v(C) \\&= cl((v(A) \circ_i v(B)) \circ_j v(C)) \\&= cl((v(A) \odot_{j-b+1} v(C)) \odot_i v(B)) \\&= (v(A) \overline{\circ}_{j-b+1} v(C)) \overline{\circ}_i v(C) \\&= v((A \odot_{j-b+1} C) \odot_i B) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada

The notation $[A]$ for a given type A , corresponds to:

$$[A] = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \Rightarrow A \text{ without the Cut rule}\}$$

We write $\vdash^- \Gamma \Rightarrow A$ to indicate provability without Cut, or simply $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ when it is from the context that we are considering Cut-free provability.

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (Continued)

- ▶ Let us define the syntactic displacement phase space $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Facts})$ as follows:

- $\mathbf{M} = (O, conc, (interc_i)_{i>0}, \wedge, 1)$ where O is the set of configurations and $conc$ and $(interc_i)_{i>0}$ are respectively the concatenation and intercalation functions of configurations. In general, if Δ and Γ are configurations, we write Δ, Γ and $\Delta|_i\Gamma$ instead of $conc(\Delta, \Gamma)$ and $interc_i(\Delta, \Gamma)$.

- \mathbf{M} is the free DA over the set of types \mathcal{F} . \mathbf{Facts} is defined as the least set closed by arbitrary intersections containing O_i , and $[A]$ for every type A . In practice, by construction, for every fact F , there exists a collection \mathcal{G} of types such that $F = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{G}} [D]$. If $F = O_i$, then $\mathcal{G} = \{\top_i\}$. 2.d) from the definition of phase displacement spaces holds.

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (Continued)

We define the canonical valuation v :

$$(5) \quad v(p) = [p]$$

Theorem (Truth lemma)

For any type A :

$$v(A) = [A]$$

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (Continued)

Proof.

By induction on the structure of type A :

- If $A = p$ where p is a primitive type, we have by definition $v(A) = [A]$. Hence, $\vec{A} \in v(A) \subseteq [A]$.

- Suppose $A = B \odot_i C$.

$v(B) \circ_i v(C) = \{\Gamma_B | \Gamma_C : \Gamma_B \in v(B), \text{ and } \Gamma_C \in v(C)\}$. By i.h. $v(B) \subseteq [B]$ and $v(C) \subseteq [C]$. Hence, by application of $\odot_i L$ $v(B) \circ_i v(C) \subseteq [B \odot_i C]$. Hence, $cl(v(B) \circ_i v(C)) \subseteq [B \odot_i C]$. This proves $v(B \odot_i C) \subseteq [B \odot_i C]$.

On the other hand, $v(B) \overline{\odot}_i v(C) = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{G}} [D]$ for a certain \mathcal{G} . For every $D \in \mathcal{G}$, by i.h. $\vec{B} | \vec{C} \in [D]$. By application of $\odot_i L$, $\overline{B \odot_i C} \in [D]$. Hence, $\overline{B \odot_i C} \in v(B \odot_i C)$.



Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (proof of truth lemma continued)

- Suppose $A = C \uparrow_i B$. Let $\Gamma \in v(C) \uparrow \uparrow_i v(B)$. By i.h., $\vec{B} \in v(B)$. We have $\Gamma \uparrow_i \vec{B} \Rightarrow v(C)$ and $v(C) \subseteq [C]$ by i.h. Hence, $\Gamma \uparrow_i \vec{B} \Rightarrow C$, and by application of $\uparrow_i R$, $\Gamma \Rightarrow C \uparrow_i B$, i.e., $\Gamma \in [C \uparrow_i B]$.

By i.h., $\vec{C} \in v(C)$. $v(C) = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{G}} [D]$ for some \mathcal{G} . Applying $\uparrow_i L$, we get $\vec{C} \uparrow_i \vec{B} \uparrow_i \Gamma_B \in [D]$ for all $\Gamma_B \in [B]$. Hence, $\vec{C} \uparrow_i \vec{B} \circ_i [B] \in [D]$ for all $D \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, $\vec{C} \uparrow_i \vec{B} \circ_i [B] \subseteq v(C)$. We have that $\vec{C} \uparrow_i \vec{B} \circ_i v(B) \subseteq \vec{C} \uparrow_i \vec{B} \circ_i [B]$, since by i.h., $v(B) \subseteq [B]$. By applying residuation, $\vec{C} \uparrow_i \vec{B} \in v(C) \uparrow \uparrow_i v(B)$.

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (proof of truth lemma continued)

- $A = B \& C$. Let $\Gamma \in v(B) \cap v(C)$. In particular $\Gamma \in v(B)$ and $\Gamma \in v(C)$. By i.h. $\Gamma \in [B]$ and $\Gamma \in [C]$. By application of $\&R$ we get $\Gamma \in [B \& C]$. This proves $v(B \& C) \subseteq [B \& C]$.

- $v(C) = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{G}} [D]$ for a certain \mathcal{G} . For every $D \in \mathcal{G}$, $\vec{C} \in [D]$. By applying $\&2L$ we get $\vec{C \& B} \in [D]$. Hence, $\vec{C \& B} \in v(C)$. By a similar reasoning, we have $\vec{C \& B} \in v(B)$. It follows that $\vec{C \& B} \in v(C \& B)$.

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (proof of truth lemma continued)

- Case $A = B \oplus C$. By i.h. $v(B) \subseteq [B]$ and $v(C) \subseteq [C]$. Hence, $v(B) \cup v(C) \subseteq cl([B] \cup [C]) \subseteq [B \oplus C]$. The first inclusion is due to the monotony property and properties of cl . In fact, we have $[B] \cup [C] \subseteq [B \oplus C]$. For, $[B] \subseteq [B \oplus C]$ and $[C] \subseteq [B \oplus C]$ by $\oplus iR$ ($i = 1, 2$). It follows that $cl(v(B) \cup v(C)) \subseteq [B \oplus C]$.

- On the other hand, $v(B \oplus C) = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{G}} [D]$ for a certain \mathcal{G} . By i.h. $\vec{B} \in v(B)$. Hence, $\vec{B} \subseteq cl(v(B) \cup v(C))$. Similarly, $\vec{C} \subseteq cl(v(B) \cup v(C))$. Therefore, for any $D \in \mathcal{G}$, $\vec{B} \in [D]$ and $\vec{C} \in [D]$. By $\oplus L$ we get $\vec{B \oplus C} \in [D]$. It follows that $\vec{B \oplus C} \subseteq v(B \oplus C)$. \square

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada

Theorem

(Strong Completeness à la Okada) Let $\Delta \Rightarrow A$ be such that for every (\mathbf{P}, ν) , $(\mathbf{P}, \nu) \models \Delta \Rightarrow B$. It follows that $\Delta \Rightarrow \neg B$.

Corollary (Cut admissibility)

The Cut rule is admissible.

□

Strong completeness in the sense of Okada (proof of the theorem)

Proof.

In particular, this sequent holds in the syntactic phase displacement model. By the previous lemma, for any A , $\vec{A} \in v(A)$. Hence $\Delta \in v(\Delta)$. Since $(\mathbf{P}, v) \models \Delta \in v(B)$, we have that $\Delta \in v(B)$. Again, by the previous lemma $\Delta \in [B]$. It follows that $\Delta \Rightarrow \neg B$. \square