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## Introduction Why Care about Invariants ? (1)

- It is necessary to verify safety properties of systems:
no program execution reaches an erroneous state (state $=$ values of variables)
- For instance in:
- Imperative programs
- Reactive systems
- Concurrent systems
- ...


## Introduction Why Care about Invariants ? (2)

- Systems often have an infinite number of states $\rightarrow$ methods for finite-state systems (e.g. model checking) suffer from the state explosion problem
- Exact reachable set of a system is not computable generally
- Solution: overapproximate reachable states $\rightarrow$

INVARIANTS: properties that hold for all states

## Introduction <br> Why Care about Invariants ? (3)

System never reaches a bad state!!

## Introduction Abstract Interpretation (1)

Abstract interpretation allows to compute invariants:

- intervals (Cousot \& Cousot 1976, Harrison 1977)

$$
x \in[0,1] \wedge y \in[0, \infty)
$$

- congruences (Granger 1991)

$$
x \equiv y \bmod (2)
$$

- linear inequalities (Cousot \& Halbwachs 1978, Colón \& Sankaranarayanan \& Sipma 2003)

$$
x+2 y-3 z \leq 3
$$

- octagonal inequalities (Mine 2001)

$$
x-y \leq 3
$$

- octahedral inequalities (Clariso \& Cortadella 2004)

$$
x-y+z \leq 2
$$

- polynomial equalities (Müller-Olm \& Seidl 2004, Sankaranarayanan \& Sipma\& Manna 2004, Colón 2004, Rodríguez-Carbonell \& Kapur 2004)

$$
x=y^{2}
$$

## Introduction <br> Abstract Interpretation (2)

Concrete variable values overapproximated by abstract values


## Introduction Abstract Interpretation (3)

- Program semantics expressed in terms of abstract values
- Operations on states that must be abstracted:


Projection
assignments


Union

merging in loops and conditionals


Intersection

guards in loops and conditionals

## Introduction

## Abstract Interpretation (4)

- Invariants are generated by symbolic execution of the program using the abstract semantics
- Termination is not guaranteeed in general:
$\longrightarrow$ union in loops must be extrapolated


- Widening operator introduced to ensure termination


## Related Work Overview Polynomial Invariants

| Work | Restrictions | Equality <br> Conditions | Disequality <br> Conditions | Complete |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MOS, POPL'04 | bounded degree | no | no | yes |
| SSM, POPL'04 | prefixed form | yes | no | no |
| MOS, IPL'04 | prefixed form | no | yes | yes |
| RCK, ISSAC'04 | no restriction | no | no | yes |
| COL, SAS'04 | bounded degree | yes | no | no |
| RCK, SAS'04 | bounded degree | yes | yes | yes* |
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## Overview of the Method (1)

- Finds polynomial equality invariants
- States abstracted to ideal of polynomials evaluating to 0
- Programming language admits
- Polynomial assignments: variable $:=$ polynomial
- Polynomial equalities and disequalities in conditions:

$$
\text { polynomial }=0 \quad, \quad \text { polynomial } \neq 0
$$

- Parametric widening $\nabla_{d}$
- If conditions are ignored and assignments are linear, finds all polynomial invariants of degree $\leq d$


## Overview of the Method (2)

- Our implementation has been successfully applied to a number of programs
- Ideals of polynomials represented by finite bases of generators: Gröbner bases
- There are several tools manipulating ideals, Gröbner bases
- Our implementation uses Macaulay 2
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## Ideals of Polynomials Preliminaries (1)

- Intuitively, an ideal is a set of polynomials and all their consequences
- An ideal is a set of polynomials $I$ such that

1. $0 \in I$
2. If $p, q \in I$, then $p+q \in I$
3. If $p \in I$ and $q$ any polynomial, $p q \in I$

## Ideals of Polynomials Preliminaries (2)

- Example 1: polynomials evaluating to 0 on a set of points $S$

1. 0 evaluates to 0 everywhere

$$
\forall \omega \in S, \quad \mathrm{O}(\omega)=0
$$

2. If $p, q$ evaluate to 0 on $S$, then $p+q$ evaluates to 0 on $S$

$$
\forall \omega \in S, \quad p(\omega)=q(\omega)=0 \Longrightarrow p(\omega)+q(\omega)=0
$$

3. If $p$ evaluates to 0 on $S$, then $p q$ evaluates to 0 on $S$

$$
\forall \omega \in S, \quad p(\omega)=0 \Longrightarrow p(\omega) \cdot q(\omega)=0
$$

## Ideals of Polynomials Preliminaries (3)

- Example 2: multiples of a polynomial $p,\langle p\rangle$

1. $0=0 \cdot p \in\langle p\rangle$
2. $q_{1} \cdot p+q_{2} \cdot p=\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) p \in\langle p\rangle$
3. If $q_{2}$ is any polynomial, then $q_{2} \cdot q_{1} \cdot p \in\langle p\rangle$

- In general, ideal generated by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ :

$$
\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j} \cdot p_{j} \text { for arbitrary } q_{j}\right\}
$$

- Hilbert's basis theorem: all ideals are finitely generated
$\longrightarrow$ finite representation for ideals


## Ideals of Polynomials Operations with Ideals

- Several operations available. Given ideals $I, J$ in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ :
- projection: $I \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$
- addition: $I+J=\{p+q \mid p \in I, q \in J\}$
- quotient: $I: J=\{p \mid \forall q \in J, p \cdot q \in I\}$
- intersection: $I \cap J$
- All operations implemented using Gröbner bases
- These operations will be used when defining abstract semantics


## Ideals of Polynomials Ideals as Abstract Values (1)

- States abstracted to ideal of polynomials evaluating to 0
- Abstraction function $I$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I:\{\text { sets of states }\} & \longrightarrow \\
S & \{\text { ideals }\} \\
S & \{\text { polynomials evaluating } \\
& \text { to } 0 \text { on } S\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Concretization function $V$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V:\{\text { ideals }\} & \longrightarrow\{\text { sets of states }\} \\
I & \longmapsto \text { zeroes of } I\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ideals of Polynomials

 Ideals as Abstract Values (2)
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## Abstract Semantics Programming Model (1)

Programs $\equiv$ finite connected flowcharts

- Entry node
- Assignment nodes: polynomial assignments
- Test nodes: polynomial dis/equalities
- Simple/loop junction nodes
- Exit nodes


## Abstract Semantics Programming Model (2)



## Abstract Semantics Assignments (1)

- Assignment node labelled with $x_{i}:=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- Input ideal: $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle$
- Output ideal:
- Want to express in terms of ideals

$$
\exists x_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}=f\left(x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \wedge p_{1}\left(x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right)=0 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k}\left(x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right)=0\right)
$$

where $x_{i}^{\prime} \equiv$ previous value of $x_{i}$ before the assignment

- Solution: projection
- eliminate $x_{i}^{\prime}$ from the ideal

$$
\left\langle x_{i}-f\left(x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right), p_{1}\left(x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, p_{k}\left(x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
$$

## Abstract Semantics Assignments (2)

Example:

- Assignment $x:=x+1$
- Input ideal: $\langle x\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0$
- Output ideal:
- Have to eliminate $x^{\prime}$ from the ideal

$$
\left\langle x-x^{\prime}-1, x^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

- Polynomials of $\left\langle x-x^{\prime}-1, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ depending only on $x$ :

$$
\langle x-1\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=1
$$

## Abstract Semantics Tests: Polynomial Equalities

- Test node labelled with $q=0$
- Input ideal: $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle$
- Output ideal: (true path)
- Want to express in terms of ideals

$$
p_{1}=0 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k}=0 \wedge q=0
$$

- Solution: addition
- Add $q$ to list of generators of input ideal
- Take maximal set of polynomials with same zeroes

$$
\mathbf{I}\left(\mathbf{V}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}, q\right)\right)
$$

## Abstract Semantics Tests: Polynomial Disequalities

- Test node labelled with $q \neq 0$
- Input ideal: $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle$
- Output ideal: (true path)
- Want to express in terms of ideals

$$
p_{1}=0 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k}=0 \wedge q \neq 0
$$

- Solution: quotient
- quotient ideal $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle:\langle q\rangle \equiv$ maximal ideal of polynomials evaluating to 0 on zeroes of $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle \backslash$ zeroes of $\langle q\rangle$


## Abstract Semantics Tests

Example:

- Test node labelled with $x=0$
- Input ideal: $\langle x y\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0 \vee y=0$
- Output ideal: (true path )

$$
\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(\langle x y, x\rangle))=\langle x\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0
$$

- Output ideal: (false path )

$$
\langle x y\rangle:\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle \longleftrightarrow y=0
$$

## Abstract Semantics Simple Junction Nodes (1)

- Input ideals (one for each path):

Path 1: $\left\langle p_{11}, \ldots, p_{1 k_{1}}\right\rangle$
Path $l:\left\langle p_{l 1}, \ldots, p_{l k_{l}}\right\rangle$

- Output ideal:
- Want to express in terms of ideals

$$
\bigvee_{i=1}^{l} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{k_{i}} p_{i j}=0
$$

- Solution: intersection
- Take common polynomials for all paths $\equiv$ Compute intersection of all input ideals

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle p_{i 1}, \ldots, p_{i k_{i}}\right\rangle
$$

## Abstract Semantics Simple Junction Nodes (2)

Example:

- Input ideal 1st path: $\langle x\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0$
- Input ideal 2nd path: $\langle x-1\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=1$
- Input ideal 3rd path: $\langle x-2\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=2$
- Output ideal:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle x\rangle \cap\langle x-1\rangle \cap\langle x-2\rangle=\langle x(x-1)(x-2)\rangle \\
\longleftrightarrow x=0 \vee x=1 \vee x=2
\end{gathered}
$$

Degree increases !!

## Abstract Semantics Loop Junction Nodes (1)

- Input ideals: $J_{1}, \cdots, J_{l}$
- Output ideal:
- As with simple junction nodes:

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} J_{i}
$$

- Problem: Non-termination of symbolic execution!
- Solution: WIDENING $\longrightarrow$ bounding degree


## Abstract Semantics Loop Junction Nodes (2)

Example:
$x:=0$;
while ? do

$$
x:=x+1
$$

end while
Generating loop invariant by symbolic execution:

- 1st iteration: $\langle x\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0$
- 2nd iteration: $\langle x(x-1)\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0 \vee x=1$
- 3rd iteration: $\langle x(x-1)(x-2)\rangle \longleftrightarrow x=0 \vee x=1 \vee x=2$

Unless we bound the degree, the procedure does not terminate
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## Widening Operator Definition

- Parametric widening $I \nabla_{d} J$
- Based on taking polynomials of $I \cap J$ of degree $\leq d$
- Definition uses Gröbner bases

$$
I \nabla_{d} J:=\mathbf{I V}(\{p \in G B(I \cap J) \mid \operatorname{deg}(p) \leq d\})
$$

- Termination guaranteed since $\{p \in I \mid \operatorname{deg}(p) \leq d\}$ are vector spaces of finite dimension


## Widening Operator Loop Junction Nodes

- Input ideals: $J_{1}, \cdots, J_{l}$
- Previously computed output ideal: I
- Output ideal:

$$
I \nabla_{d}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} J_{i}\right)
$$

## Widening Operator A Completeness Result

- THEOREM. If conditions are ignored and assignments are linear, procedure computes all invariants of degree $\leq d$
- Key ideas of the proof:
- $I \nabla_{d} J$ retains all polynomials of degree $d$ of $I \cap J$
- Graded term orderings used in Gröbner bases: glex, grevlex
- Conditions must be ignored: the set of all linear invariants in programs with linear equality conditions is not computable
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}(I)=\langle 0\rangle \\
& F_{1}(I)=\left(\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle I_{0}\left(x_{1} \leftarrow x_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right) \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right] \\
& F_{2}(I)=\left(\left\langle x_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle I_{1}\left(x_{2} \leftarrow x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right) \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right] \\
& F_{3}(I)=I_{3} \nabla_{2}\left(I_{2} \cap I_{6}\right) \\
& F_{4}(I)=\left\langle I_{3}\right\rangle:\left\langle x_{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle \\
& F_{5}(I)=I_{4}\left(x_{1} \leftarrow x_{1}-2 x_{2}-1\right) \\
& F_{6}(I)=I_{5}\left(x_{2} \leftarrow x_{2}-1\right) \\
& F_{7}(I)=\mathbf{I}\left(\mathbf{V}\left(I_{3}+\left\langle x_{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## ABSTRACT PROGRAM SEMANTICS



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{0}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{0}^{(1)}=\langle 0\rangle \\
I_{1}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{1}^{(1)}=\left(\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle+\langle 0\rangle\right) \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle \\
I_{2}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{2}^{(1)}=\left(\left\langle x_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle\right) \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle \\
I_{3}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{3}^{(1)}=I_{3}^{(0)} \nabla_{2}\left(I_{2}^{(1)} \cap I_{6}^{(0)}\right)=I_{2}^{(1)}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle \\
I_{4}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{4}^{(1)}=I_{3}^{(1)}:\left\langle x_{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle \\
I_{5}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{5}^{(1)}=I_{4}^{(1)}\left(x_{1} \leftarrow x_{1}-2 x_{2}-1\right)=\left\langle x_{1}-2 x_{2}-1, x_{2}\right\rangle \\
I_{6}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{6}^{(1)}=I_{5}^{(1)}\left(x_{2} \leftarrow x_{2}-1\right)=\left\langle x_{1}-2 x_{2}+1, x_{2}-1\right\rangle \\
I_{7}^{(0)}=\langle 1\rangle & I_{7}^{(1)}=\mathbf{I}\left(\mathbf{V}\left(\left\langle x_{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle+I_{3}^{(1)}\right)\right)=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$


$I_{0}^{(2)}=\langle 0\rangle$
$I_{1}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$
$I_{2}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$
$I_{3}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right)\right\rangle$
$I_{4}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right)\right\rangle$
$I_{5}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{2}-1, x_{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right)\right\rangle$
$I_{6}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}^{2},\left(x_{2}-1\right)\left(x_{2}-2\right)\right\rangle$
$I_{7}^{(2)}=\left\langle x_{1}-x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right), x_{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle$

In 6 iterations we get the loop invariant

$$
x_{1}=x_{2}^{2}
$$

## Examples <br> Table

| PROGRAM | COMPUTING | $d$ | VARS | IF'S | LOOPS | LOOP <br> DEPTH | TIME |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cohencu | Cube | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2.45 |
| dershowitz | real division | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.71 |
| divbin | integer division | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.91 |
| euclidex1 | Bezout's coefs | 2 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7.15 |
| euclidex2 | Bezout's coefs | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.69 |
| fermat | divisor | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1.55 |
| prod4br | product | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8.49 |
| freire1 | integer sqrt | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.75 |
| hard | integer division | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2.19 |
| Icm2 | Icm | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.03 |
| readers | simulation | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4.15 |

## Overview of the Talk

1. Overview of the Method
2. Ideals of Polynomials
3. Abstract Semantics
4. Widening Operator
5. Examples
6. Alternative Solution
7. Future Work \& Conclusions

## Alternative Solution (1)

- Alternative approach (Colón, SAS'04)
- Based on approximating ideals using degree bound $d$
- Key observation: given an ideal $I$, polynomials in $I$ of degree $\leq d$ form a vector space of finite dimension
$\rightarrow$ use linear algebra instead of Gröbner bases
- A pseudo-ideal is a set $P$ of polynomials of degree $\leq d$ such that

1. $0 \in P$
2. If $p, q \in P$, then $p+q \in P$
3. If $p \in P, q$ any polynomial and $\operatorname{deg}(p q) \leq d$, then $p q \in P$

- Pseudo-ideals are vector spaces of finite dimension


## Alternative Solution (2)

- Operations on ideals approximated by operations on vector spaces
- Advantages
- Easier to implement
- Better complexity bounds
- Disadvantages
- Loss of precision
- Dimension of vector spaces increments exponentially with degree
- Combination of both techniques would be better ?
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## Future Work

- Design widening operators not bounding degree
- Integrate with linear inequalities
- Study abstract domains for polynomial inequalities
- Apply to other classes of programs


## Conclusions

- Method for generating polynomial equality invariants
- Based on abstract interpretation
- Programming language admits
- Polynomial assignments
- Polynomial dis/equalities in conditions
- If conditions are ignored and assignments are linear, finds all polynomial invariants of degree $\leq d$
- Implemented using Macaulay 2
- Successfully applied to many programs

